

Memo January 28, 2008, from KS

This is continuation of the three memos [m1, m2, m3] on the limits of some nested classes induced by iteration of stochastic integral operators.

*Correction.* In Definition of  $L_\infty^{(\alpha)\sharp}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in page 1 of [m2],  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mu(dx) < \infty$  should be replaced by  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mu(dx) = 0$ .

As in [m3] let  $p(u) = u^{-2}e^{-u}$ , and  $g(t) = \int_t^\infty p(u)du$  for  $0 < t \leq \infty$ . Let  $t = f(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s < \infty$ , be defined by  $s = g(t)$ ,  $0 < t \leq \infty$ . Define

$$(25) \quad \Phi_f(\mu) = \mathcal{L} \left( \int_0^{\infty-} f(s) dX_s^{(\mu)} \right).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{R}_f^m = \mathfrak{R}_f^m(\mathbb{R}^d) = \Phi_f^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m)), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then (see p. 2 of [m1])

$$I(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset \mathfrak{R}_f^1 \supset \mathfrak{R}_f^2 \supset \dots$$

We will give characterizations of  $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ . We will use Theorem A in [m1] and Theorem B'' in [m3], but we will not use Theorem C'' in [m3].

We write the triplet of  $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $(A^\mu, \nu^\mu, \gamma^\mu)$  and the decomposition of the Lévy measure of  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in Theorem A in [m1] as  $\Gamma^\mu(d\beta)$  and  $\lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$ . Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0(d\beta) &= (\Gamma(\beta - 1))^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta), \\ \nu^0(B) &= \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^0(d\beta) \int_S \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) r^{-\beta-1} dr, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

*Then,  $\int_1^a s^{-1} ds \int_{|x|>s} x\nu^0(dx)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \uparrow \infty$  if and only if  $\int_{1<|x|\leq a} x\nu^\mu(dx)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \uparrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $\int_{|x|>1} |x|\nu^0(dx) < \infty$  by Lemma in [m2]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^a s^{-1} ds \int_{|x|>s} x\nu^0(dx) &= \int_1^a s^{-1} ds \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^0(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_s^\infty r r^{-\beta-1} dr \\ &= \int_{(1,2)} (\Gamma(\beta - 1))^{-1} (\beta - 1)^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_1^a s^{-\beta} ds \\ &= \int_{(1,2)} (\Gamma(\beta))^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_1^a s^{-\beta} ds = I_1 \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{1 < |x| \leq a} x \nu^\mu(dx) = \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_1^a r^{-\beta} dr = I_2 \quad (\text{say}).$$

Hence

$$I_1 - I_2 = \int_{(1,2)} ((\Gamma(\beta))^{-1} - 1) \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_1^a r^{-\beta} dr.$$

Since

$$0 \leq ((\Gamma(\beta))^{-1} - 1) \int_1^a r^{-\beta} dr \leq \frac{1 - \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)(\beta - 1)} \leq \text{const}$$

for  $1 < \beta < 2$ ,  $I_1 - I_2$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \uparrow \infty$ . Hence the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$ . Let  $\nu^0$  be as in Lemma 1. Then

$$(26) \quad \mu \in \Phi_f(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f))$$

if and only if

$$(27) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_\varepsilon^\infty t e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2 \nu^0(dx)}{1 + |tx|^2} \text{ is convergent in } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \\ \text{and the limit equals } -\gamma^\mu. \end{array} \right.$$

*Proof.* Assume (27). Define  $\mu^0 \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by the triplet given by

$$A^{\mu^0} = A^\mu, \quad \nu^{\mu^0} = \nu^0, \quad \gamma^{\mu^0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2 \nu^{\mu^0}(dx)}{1 + |tx|^2}.$$

Notice that it follows from the definition of  $\nu^{\mu^0}$  that  $\int_{|x|>1} |x| \nu^{\mu^0}(dx) < \infty$  and that  $\mu^0 \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Using Proposition 2.6 (ii) and (iv) of [S06], we see that  $\mu^0 \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f)$  and  $\Phi_f(\mu^0) = \mu$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f(s)^2 ds &= \int_0^\infty t^2 t^{-2} e^{-t} dt = 1, \\ \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(f(s)x) \nu^{\mu^0}(dx) &= \int_0^\infty t^{-2} e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(tx) \nu^{\mu^0}(dx) \\ &= \int_0^\infty t^{-2} e^{-t} dt \int_{(1,2)} (\Gamma(\beta - 1))^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(tr\xi) r^{-\beta-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty t^{-2} e^{-t} dt \int_{(1,2)} (\Gamma(\beta - 1))^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(s\xi) t^\beta s^{-\beta-1} ds \\ &= \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(s\xi) s^{-\beta-1} ds = \nu^\mu(B), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t f(s) ds &\left( \gamma^{\mu^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left( \frac{1}{1 + |f(s)x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} \right) \nu^{\mu^0}(dx) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t f(s) ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left( \frac{1}{1 + |f(s)x|^2} - 1 \right) \nu^{\mu_0}(dx) \quad (\text{by the def. of } \gamma^{\mu_0}) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-1} e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left( \frac{1}{1 + |tx|^2} - 1 \right) \nu^{\mu_0}(dx) \\
&= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |tx|^2} \nu^{\mu_0}(dx) = \gamma^{\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (26) holds.

Conversely, assume (26). Then there is  $\mu_0 \in L_{\infty} \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f)$  such that  $\Phi_f(\mu_0) = \mu$ . By Proposition 4.1 of [S06], the Lévy measure of  $\mu_0$  necessarily equals  $\nu^0$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{\mu} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t f(s) ds \left( \gamma^{\mu_0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left( \frac{1}{1 + |f(s)x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} \right) \nu^{\mu_0}(dx) \right) \\
&= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |tx|^2} \nu^{\mu_0}(dx)
\end{aligned}$$

by the same calculus as above, since  $\gamma^{\mu_0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2 \nu^{\mu_0}(dx)}{1 + |tx|^2}$  by virtue of Theorem B". This means (27).  $\square$

**Theorem D.** *Let  $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $\mu \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{A}_f^m$  if and only if  $\mu \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\Gamma^{\mu}((0, 1]) = 0$ , and*

$$(28) \quad \int_{(1,2)} \frac{B(\frac{3-\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2})}{\beta-1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{\beta-2} e^{-t} dt \right) \Gamma^{\mu}(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_{\beta}^{\mu}(d\xi)$$

*is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  and the limit equals  $-\gamma^{\mu}$ .*

*Proof.* The "if" part. Let  $I_{\varepsilon}$  denote (28). For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  finiteness of  $I_{\varepsilon}$  is seen from  $(\beta-1)\Gamma(\beta-1) = \Gamma(\beta)$  and from

$$\sup_{\beta \in (1,2)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{\beta-2} e^{-t} dt \leq \int_{\varepsilon}^1 t^{-1} e^{-t} dt + \int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} dt < \infty.$$

Define  $\mu_0$  as in the proof of Lemma 2. Recall that  $\int_{|x|>1} |x| \nu^{\mu_0}(dx) < \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |tx|^2} \nu^0(dx) \\
&= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^{\mu_0}(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_{\beta}^{\mu_0}(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^3}{1 + t^2 r^2} r^{-\beta-1} dr \\
&= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{\beta-2} e^{-t} dt \int_{(1,2)} \frac{\Gamma^{\mu}(d\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \int_S \xi \lambda_{\beta}^{\mu}(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{s^{2-\beta}}{1 + s^2} ds = I_{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

using ([GR] p. 292)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{s^{2-\beta}}{1+s^2} ds = \frac{B(\frac{3-\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta-1}{2})}{2} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3-\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\beta-1}{2})}{2\Gamma(1)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3-\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\beta+1}{2})}{\beta-1} = \frac{B(\frac{3-\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2})}{\beta-1}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2 says that  $\mu \in \Phi_f(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f))$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(\mu_0) = \mu$  as in the proof of Lemma 2. The distribution  $\mu_0$  satisfies the same condition as  $\mu$  does.

Indeed,  $\mu_0 \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\Gamma^{\mu_0}((0, 1]) = 0$ ,

$$\int_{(1,2)} \frac{B(\frac{3-\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2})}{\beta-1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty t^{\beta-2} e^{-t} dt \right) \Gamma^{\mu_0}(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^{\mu_0}(d\xi)$$

is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  by the dominated convergence theorem since

$$\int_{(1,2)} \frac{\Gamma^{\mu_0}(d\beta)}{\beta-1} = \int_{(1,2)} \frac{\Gamma^\mu(d\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)} < \infty,$$

and the limit equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(1,2)} \frac{B(\frac{3-\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2})}{\beta-1} \Gamma^{\mu_0}(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^{\mu_0}(d\xi) \\ &= \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^{\mu_0}(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^{\mu_0}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{2-\beta} dr}{1+r^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2 \nu^{\mu_0}(dx)}{1+|x|^2} = -\gamma^{\mu_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can repeat the procedure above to prove  $\mu \in \Phi_f^m(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m))$  for all integer  $m \geq 1$ .

*The “only if” part.* Assume that  $\mu \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ . Then we can prove  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$  in the same way as in the cases  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $1 < \alpha < 2$  (see the proofs of Theorems C and C' in [m1, m2]). The distribution  $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f)$  satisfying  $\Phi_f(\mu_0) = \mu$  is unique (see Proposition 4.1 of [S06]). For the  $\nu^0$  defined in Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(f(s)x) \nu^0(dx) = \nu^\mu(B)$$

as in the proof of Lemma 2. Hence  $\nu^{\mu_0} = \nu^0$  and  $\mu_0 \in L_\infty$ . It follows that  $\mu \in \Phi_f(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f))$ , that is, (26) holds. Since

$$I_\varepsilon = \int_\varepsilon^\infty t e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|tx|^2} \nu^0(dx)$$

as above, Lemma 2 says that  $I_\varepsilon$  is convergent on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  and the limit is  $-\gamma^\mu$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** (i) If  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$ ,  $\int_{(1,2)} (\beta-1)^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) < \infty$ , and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0$ , then  $\mu \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ .

(ii) If  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$ ,  $\int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) = 0$  for  $\Gamma^\mu$ -a.e.  $\beta \in (1, 2)$ , and  $\gamma^\mu = 0$ , then  $\mu \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ .

(iii) If  $\mu \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$ ,

$$(29) \quad \gamma^\mu = - \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq a} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^\mu(dx),$$

and there is some  $c \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$(30) \quad \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) = 0 \quad \text{for } \Gamma^\mu\text{-a.e. } \beta \in (1, 1 + c),$$

then  $\mu \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ .

*Proof.* (i) Recall that the conditions  $\Gamma^\mu((0, 1]) = 0$  and  $\int_{(1,2)} (\beta - 1)^{-1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) < \infty$  imply  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \mu(dx) < \infty$  (see Lemma in [m2]). The same conditions imply that  $I_\varepsilon$  is convergent as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  and that the limit equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(1,2)} \frac{B(\frac{3-\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2})}{\beta - 1} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) = \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^3}{1 + r^2} r^{-\beta-1} dr \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^\mu(dx) = -\gamma^\mu \quad (\text{since } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from Theorem D that  $\mu \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ .

(ii) Since the assumption implies  $I_\varepsilon = 0$ , we can use Theorem D.

(iii) Define  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\mu_1} &= A^\mu, \quad \Gamma^{\mu_1}(d\beta) = \Gamma^\mu(d\beta \cap (1, 1 + c)), \quad \lambda_\beta^{\mu_1} = \lambda_\beta^\mu, \quad \gamma^{\mu_1} = 0, \\ A^{\mu_2} &= 0, \quad \Gamma^{\mu_2}(d\beta) = \Gamma^\mu(d\beta \cap [1 + c, 2)), \quad \lambda_\beta^{\mu_2} = \lambda_\beta^\mu, \quad \gamma^{\mu_2} = \gamma^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$  and, using (ii), we see that  $\mu_1 \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ . Further,  $\int_{|x| > 1} |x| \nu^{\mu_2}(dx) < \infty$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| \leq a} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^\mu(dx) = \int_{(1,2)} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^a \frac{r^3}{1 + r^2} r^{-\beta-1} dr \\ & = \int_{(1+c,2)} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^a \frac{r^3}{1 + r^2} r^{-\beta-1} dr \\ & \rightarrow \int_{(1+c,2)} \Gamma^\mu(d\beta) \int_S \xi \lambda_\beta^\mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^3}{1 + r^2} r^{-\beta-1} dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^{\mu_2}(dx) \end{aligned}$$

as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . Using the assumption, it follows that

$$-\gamma^{\mu_2} = -\gamma^\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^{\mu_2}(dx).$$

Hence  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu_2(dx) = 0$ . Hence, using (i),  $\mu_2 \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ . For each integer  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_f^m$  is closed under convolution. Hence  $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{R}_f^m$  is closed under convolution. Hence it contains  $\mu$ .  $\square$

**Theorem E.** Let  $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

(i) There exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\mu * \delta_{-c} \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_f^m$  if and only if  $\mu \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\Gamma^{\mu}((0, 1]) = 0$ , and  $\int_{1 < |x| \leq a} x \nu^{\mu}(dx)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) If there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\mu * \delta_{-c} \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ , then this  $c$  is unique.

*Proof.* (i) The “if” part. Choose  $\nu^0$  as in Lemma 1. Then  $\int_{|x| > 1} |x| \nu^0(dx) < \infty$ . Lemma 1 tells us that  $\int_1^a s^{-1} ds \int_{|x| > s} x \nu^0(dx)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $\mu_0$  by the triplet

$$A^{\mu_0} = A^{\mu}, \quad \nu^{\mu_0} = \nu^0, \quad \gamma^{\mu_0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^{\mu_0}(dx).$$

It follows from Theorem B'' that  $\mu^0 \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f) \cap L_{\infty}$ . Let  $\mu' = \Phi_f(\mu_0)$ . Then  $A^{\mu'} = A^{\mu}$  and  $\nu^{\mu'} = \nu^{\mu}$  (see the proof of Lemma 2). Hence  $\mu * \delta_{-c} = \mu' \in \mathfrak{R}_f^1$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It follows from Corollary (i) that  $\mu_0 \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ . Hence  $\mu' \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_f^m$ .

The “only if” part. We assume that  $\mu * \delta_{-c} \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_f^m$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\mu' = \mu * \delta_{-c}$ . It follows from Theorem D that  $\mu' \in L_{\infty}$  and  $\Gamma^{\mu'}((0, 1]) = 0$ . Let  $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f)$  be such that  $\Phi_f(\mu_0) = \mu'$ . Then  $\nu^{\mu_0}$  equals  $\nu^0$  of Lemma 1. It follows from Theorem B'' that  $\int_1^a s^{-1} ds \int_{|x| > s} x \nu^0(dx)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, by Lemma 1,  $\int_{1 < |x| \leq a} x \nu^{\mu}(dx)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) Suppose that both  $\mu_1 = \mu * \delta_{-c_1}$  and  $\mu_2 = \mu * \delta_{-c_2}$  belong to  $\mathfrak{R}_f^1$ . Let  $\mu_1^0$  and  $\mu_2^0$  be such that  $\Phi_f(\mu_1^0) = \mu_1$  and  $\Phi_f(\mu_2^0) = \mu_2$ . Since  $A^{\mu_1} = A^{\mu_2}$  and  $\nu^{\mu_1} = \nu^{\mu_2}$ , we have  $A^{\mu_1^0} = A^{\mu_2^0}$  and  $\nu^{\mu_1^0} = \nu^{\mu_2^0}$  (see Proposition 4.1 of [S06]). Now it follows from Theorem B'' that

$$\gamma^{\mu_1^0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^{\mu_1^0}(dx) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \nu^{\mu_2^0}(dx) = \gamma^{\mu_2^0}.$$

Therefore  $\mu_1^0 = \mu_2^0$  and hence  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . It follows that  $c_1 = c_2$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [m1] Memo November 29, 2007, from KS.
- [m2] Memo December 5, 2007, from KS.
- [m3] Memo December 15, 2007, from KS.
- [GR] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik (1980). *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Fourth edition, Academic Press, San Diego.
- [S06] K. Sato (2006). Two families of improper stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes, *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Prob. Math. Stat.* **1**, 47–87.

### CORRECTION

Page 2, line 13, and page 3, line 9:

Replace  $\gamma^{\mu_0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2 \nu^{\mu_0}(dx)}{1 + |tx|^2}$  by  $\gamma^{\mu_0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2 \nu^{\mu_0}(dx)}{1 + |x|^2}$

Page 3, the last line:

Replace  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{\beta-2} e^{-t} dt \int_{(1,2)} \frac{\Gamma^{\mu}(d\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-1)}$  by  $\int_{(1,2)} \frac{\Gamma^{\mu}(d\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-1)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{\beta-2} e^{-t} dt$

Page 4, line 3: Replace  $\Phi(\mu_0)$  by  $\Phi_f(\mu_0)$